NEW JERSEY STATE AFL-CIO

106 West State Street Trenton, New Jersey 08608 (609) 989-8730 FAX (609) 989-8734

www.njaflcio.org

CHARLES WOWKANECH

LAUREL BRENNAN

CHARLES H. MARCIANTE



JOYCE ALSTON JOSEPH ASHTON MICHAEL BARNES MARTIN CAMPBELL JAMES R. CHEW, JR. NOFL CHRISTMAS JOSEPH DEMARK, JR. RICHARD DRESSEL SHARON EASTWICK FRANCELINE EHRET

ROY FOSTER JOHN GERRITY THOMAS GIBLIN SHERRYL GORDON BAYMOND GREAVES CHARLES HALL, JR. HARRY HARCHETTS JAMES KEHOE CHRISTINE KERBER DOMINICK MARINO

MATTHEW McCARTY C. ROBERT McDEVITT ANTHONY SANTO GERARD MEARA JOHN MIRANTI JUAN NEGRON JOHN T. NICCOLLAI, JR. RICHARD TOLSON DANIEL O'CONNELL GERALD OWENS RAYMOND POCINO JOHN POTTS

HETTY ROSENSTEIN DAVID SCHNECK JOHN SHINN DERRICK THOMAS ANN TWOMEY HARVEY WHILLE



December 16, 2013

Dear Members of the Assembly Labor Committee:

Re: Please support ACR-215 **Invalidates Proposed Regulation Concerning Job Banding**

The New Jersey State AFL-CIO expresses our strong opposition to the proposed regulation concerning job banding. If enacted, this regulation will take a significant step backwards in regard to ensuring that public employee promotions are based upon merit, and not political favoritism. This proposed rule also opens the door to discriminatory practices based upon race, gender or sexual orientation. This proposed rule gives management wide latitude in determining which public employees get promoted and eliminates test-based promotions and undermines veteran preference due to changes in the ranking system.

Furthermore, we take exception to the categorization in the proposed rule that because banding is currently being performed in Judiciary, that it makes sense to be broadly implemented for all state and local government workers. It must be understood that the Judiciary bands were implemented through bargaining where employee representatives had a seat at the table to negotiate a program that was in the best interest of the employees. The proposal before you today is not being negotiated.

Furthermore, the proposed rule ignores important information detailing what type of criteria is going to be used to develop the bands. The rule only speaks to this in the broadest of terms and it is unclear how these bands will be developed. Furthermore, we need certain questions answered such as how many examinations there would be in a particular appointing authority and then how this would be impacted if titles are banded. What type of impact would this have on employees is an important question that the Civil Service Commission refuses to answer. In fact, this is the fourth public hearing on this matter, and to date, the Civil Service Commission has refused to send a representative to any of the hearings to answer questions about the rule.

In closing, it is the responsibility of the Civil Service Commission to administer a system that ensures a balance between the needs of management and the protection of employee rights. This proposed rule, in the simplest of terms, dismisses this balanced system in the favor of management. This rule needs to be nullified and we commend the legislature for taking action doing just that.

Sincerely,

Charles Wowkanech President

Laurel Brennan Secretary-Treasurer

CW:LB:jd OPEIU:153